# Nuts and Bolts of Bubble Nasal CPAP

Jen-Tien Wung, M.D., FCCM Neonatal Intensivist Professor of Pediatrics Columbia University Medical Center New York City (CHONY)

## **CPAP** <u>Continuous Positive Airway Pressure</u>

• To a spontaneous breathing patient, a positive pressure is applied to the airways throughout the respiratory cycle

 Nasal CPAP has been used at Columbia University NICU since 1973 for >20,000 infants



- 1. Increases transpulmonary pressure and functional residual capacity (FRC)
- 2. Prevents alveolar collapse, decreases intrapulmonary shunt and improves lung compliance
- 3. Conserves surfactant
- 4. Prevents pharyngeal wall collapse
- 5. Stabilizes the chest wall
- 6. Increases airway diameter and splints the airways
- 7. Splints the diaphragm
- 8. Stimulates lung growth
- 9. Bubble CPAP has HFV effect/stochastic resonance



Lung volume, lung weight, and protein and DNA contents at end of study were higher in CPAP-exposed than in control animals (all P < 0.01). Strain-induced growth of the immature lung. Zhang S. et al. J. Appl Physiol 1996;81:1471-6



#### CPAP

- CPAP is not just for RDS
  CPAP stimulates the growth of premature lung
- We keep the premature infants on CPAP, even on room air CPAP, as long as they are symptomatic (e.g., tachypnea, retraction or apnea & bradycardia.)



Waveform produced at airway with underwater **Bubble CPAP** 

Amplitude 2-4 cm H2O, Frequency 15-30 Hz

Lee K-S et al: Biol Neonate 73: 69-75, 1998



Waveform produced at airway with <u>HFOV</u> (Sensormedics)

Set I-time 0.3 Set Frequency 10 Hz

Thome U: J Appl Physiol: 84(5):1520-7, 1998

### C P A P Indication

- 1. Diseases with low FRC, e.g. RDS, TTN, CPIP, PDA, pulmonary edema, etc.
- 2. Apnea and bradycardia of prematurity
- 3. Meconium aspiration syndrome (MAS)
- 4. Airway closure disease, e.g. bronchiolitis, BPD
- 5. Tracheomalacia
- 6. Partial paralysis of diaphragm
- 7. Respiratory support after extubation

## Effect of alveolar radius and surface tension on alveolar stability w/ and w/o surfactant Law of LaPlace P = 2 T/r





#### Law of LaPlace : P = 2T/r

P : pressure T : surface tension r : radius



#### Natural response of surfactant producing cells to birth Spain CL et.al. Ped. Research, 1987



At onset of breathing, amount of surfactant pool increases significantly

#### C P A P Indication

- 1. Diseases with low FRC, e.g. RDS, TTN, CPIP, PDA, pulmonary edema, etc.
- 2. Apnea and bradycardia of prematurity
- 3. Meconium aspiration syndrome (MAS)
- 4. Airway closure disease, e.g. bronchiolitis, BPD
- 5. Tracheomalacia
- 6. Partial paralysis of diaphragm
- 7. Respiratory support after extubation

# Meconium Aspiration Syndrome (MAS)

# Meconium Aspiration Syndrome (MAS)



## Meconium Aspiration Syndrome (MAS)



#### Waterfall Effect



#### C P A P Indication

- 1. Diseases with low FRC, e.g. RDS, TTN, CPIP, PDA, pulmonary edema, etc.
- 2. Apnea and bradycardia of prematurity
- 3. Meconium aspiration syndrome (MAS)
- Airway closure disease, e.g. bronchiolitis, BPD
- 5. Tracheomalacia
- 6. Partial paralysis of diaphragm
- 7. Respiratory support after extubation







#### **FiO2 vs flow meter readings**





## **Expiratory Valves**

• Threshold resistors – the level is determined by the force applied to the surface area of the valve. The pressure generated is independent of flow. (Water bubble CPAP)

Variable pressure-flow resistors – the level of PEEP/CPAP is directly proportional to the product of the gas <u>flow</u> through the orifice of the expiratory pressure valve and the <u>resistance</u> of the valve. (Ventilator)

## **Bubble-CPAP vs Ventilator-CPAP**

All infants with bubble CPAP had:

- a lower minute volume with a <u>mean</u> reduction in MV of 39% (p<0.001)</p>
- 7 % reduction in respiratory rate (p=0.004)
- With no change in transcutaneous CO<sub>2</sub> and oxygen saturation values
- Lee K-S et al: Biol Neonate 73: 69-75, 1998

#### Physiological Advantage of Bubble versus Ventilator-derived CPAP

Lower PaCO<sub>2</sub>
Higher PaO<sub>2</sub>, PH, FRC
Less V/Q mismatch
Lower alveolar protein

Bubble Continuous Positive Airway Pressure Enhances Lung Volume and Gas Exchange in Preterm Lambs Jane Pillow et al. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2007 ; 176(1): 63–69.

#### **B-NCPAP vs V-NCPAP**

- Randomized crossover study in 18 premature infants (<1500 g) with mild respiratory distress
- Work of breathing, breathing asynchrony, respiratory rate, heart rate, tidal volume, minute ventilation, lung compliance or TcPCO<sub>2</sub> was not significantly different
- TcPO<sub>2</sub> was higher with B-NCPAP (P=0.01) Courtney, SE et al.: Journal of Perinatology (2011) 31, 44–50;



#### **CPAP Devices** (Interface)

- Head hood
- Face shield
- Face mask
- Nasal mask
- Nasal prongs Hudson, Babi-plus nCPAP INCA, Draeger, Fisher&Pakel, SiPAP, Arabella, NeoPAP
- Nasal cannula Vapotherm
- Nasal pharyngeal tube
- Endotracheal tube

# Not all CPAP devices are created equal

There is a learning curve for CPAP therapy





#### Fisher & Pakel







#### INFANT FLOW<sup>TM</sup> nCPAP System



A Randomized Controlled Trial of Postextubation Bubble CPAP vs Infant Flow Driver CPAP in Preterm Infants with RDS Gupta s et al, J Pediatr 2009;154:645-50

|  | IFD CPAP | CPAP<br>(F&P) | P value |
|--|----------|---------------|---------|
| Failure rate in infants ventilated for ≤14days | 28.6(%)  | 14.1(%)       | 0.046   |
| Median duration of<br>CPAP support             | 4 days   | 2 days        | 0.031   |
| (+) blood culture                              | 25%      | 20%           |         |
| BPD  | 30%      | 20%           | 0.60    |

| Outcome                      | IFD CPAP<br>(n=69) | F&P Bubble<br>CPAP(n=71) | Odds ratio<br>(95% CI) |
|------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|
| Survival, No BPD             | 48(66%)            | 53(75%)                  | 1.23(0.45-3.61)        |
| Death before<br>discharge    | 0(0%)              | *4(5.6%)                 |                        |
| BPD at 36 weeks              | 21(30%)            | 14(20%)                  | 0.60(0.28-1.32)        |
| PDA requiring Tx             | 17(25%)            | 12(17%)                  | 0.63(0.24-1.63)        |
| Severe IVH/PVL               | 9 (13.5%)          | 11(15.6%)                | 0.96(0.37-2.49)        |
| NEC (Bell stage 2 or higher) | 3(4.3%)            | 5(7.0%)                  | 1.72(0.39-7.49)        |
| ROP requiring Tx             | 5(7.2%)            | 4(5.6%)                  | 0.79(0.20-3.07)        |
| Pnemothorax                  | 0                  | 0                        |                        |

\*4 death, 2 from NEC, 2 from sepsis. 3 of these infants had to be reintubated <24 hours after extubation
#### Nasal Cannula



# Vapotherm







#### **F&P Optiflow Junior**



# Neotech Ram Cannula





#### RAM Cannula (NEOTECH Products Inc.)





#### Mean hypopharyngeal pressures and Gas flow in preterm infants with nasal cannula





#### Presión Hipofaríngea en prematuros con cánula nasal: Relación con el flujo de gas





Linear regression between flow rate divided by infants'weight and end-expiratory Prp in heated, humidified, high-flow, nasal cannula (HHHFNC) (Prp=0.3+0.7\*V'; r2=0.37)

Respiratory Mechanics during NCPAP and HHHFNC at equal distending pressure, Anna Lavizzari et al. Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Published online 30 April 2014 Hypopharyngeal oxygen concentration and pressure delivered by nasal cannula in preterm infants Alvaro Quintero et al. PAS 3450-3, 2009

| Gas Flow | Hypopharynx FiO2   | Hypopharyx Pressure |
|----------|--------------------|---------------------|
| LPM      | Median (Range)     | Median (Range)      |
| 0.1      | 0.28 (0.23 – 0.42) |                     |
| 0.3      | 0.44 (0.30 - 0.61) |                     |
| 0.5      | 0.53 (0.37 - 0.69) | 2.7 (1.3 – 13.6)    |
| 1        | 0.69 (0.49 - 0.90) | 4.7 (1.3 – 19)      |
| 2        | 0.75 (0.53 - 0.91) | 5.4 (4.0 -20.4)     |



Fig. 1. Study design algorithm for weaning off NCPAP. NC: nasal cannula, NCPAP: nasal continuous positive airway pressure.

Early Human Development 87:2011:205-208



Duration of oxygen exposure and respiratory support in the two groups. Data are expressed in median and interguartile range. Mann–Whitney test was used.

|                  |                       |          | HHHFNC          | nCPAP           |
|------------------|-----------------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Collins,<br>2013 | Extubation<br>Failure | Total    | 15/67           | 22/65           |
| (J Pediatr)      |                       | 28-32 wk | 7/37            | 8/36            |
|                  |                       | <28 wk   | 2/30            | 1/29            |
|                  | BPD                   |          | 24/67 (36%)     | 28/65(43%)      |
| Manley,<br>2013  | Extubation<br>Failure | Total    | 52/152          | 39/151          |
| (NEJM)           |                       | 26-32 wk | 26/120          | 20/120          |
|                  |                       | <26 wk   | 26/32           | 19/31           |
|                  | BPD                   |          | 47/152<br>(31%) | 52/151<br>(34%) |

# **BPD Proposed New Definition**

- Mild: require supplement oxygen at 28 days, but not at 36 weeks PMA
- Moderate: require supplement oxygen for >28 days. At 36 weeks PMA, FiO2 <30%</p>
- Severe: require oxygen >28 days. At 36 weeks PMA, on FiO2 > 30%, IPPV or CPAP

# Incidence of BPD CHONY (6/99 –7/02) Proposed New Definition

| BW(g)    | GA(wks)    | <b>O</b> <sub>2</sub> (36 wk | s) Mild | Mod.  | Severe |
|----------|------------|------------------------------|---------|-------|--------|
| < 750    | 25.4±2.0   | 1 8.3%                       | 31.6%   | 15.0% | 3.3%   |
| 750-1000 | 26.9±1.8   | 1.4%                         | 16.9%   | 1.4%  | 0      |
| 1001-125 | 0 29.0±1.8 | 1.1%                         | 0       | 1.1%  | 0      |
| Total    | 27.4±2.4   | 5.9%                         | 14.1%   | 5.0%  | 0.9%   |

Sahni et al. J Perinatol 25(1):41-6, 2005.

#### Nasal Cannulae

•The CPAP and FiO<sub>2</sub> delivered will depend upon the cannulae size, flow, anatomy of nose and space between cannulae and nose •No safety mechanism to assure that excessive positive pressure is not given • --- High–flow nasal cannulae should not be used as a replacement for delivering CPAP." – Kubicka et al. Pediatrics 2008;121:82-88 •"--- easy may not be safe." –Finer, 2005

#### CPAP Delivery System (Columbia)





# Viscosity X Length8Resistance = $X - \frac{1}{Radius^4}$ $\pi$

| ET tube ID:             | Resistance (cmH2O/5lpm) |
|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| 2.5 mm (length 10 cm)   | 14.2                    |
| 3.0 mm (length 12 cm)   | 6.5                     |
| 3.5 mm (length 12 cm)   | 4.3                     |
| Hudson CPAP prong size: |                         |
| 0                       | 2.5                     |
| 1                       | 1.0                     |
| 2                       | 1.0                     |
| 3                       | 0.5                     |
| 4                       | 0.5                     |
| 5                       | 0.5                     |

# Nasal CPAP Set up (1)



1. Oxygen blender 2. Flowmeter (5-10 LPM) 3. Heated humidifier 4. Thermometer 5. Inspiratory tubing 6. Nasal cannulae 7. Velcro

# Nasal CPAP Set up (2)



8. Manometer (optional) 9. Expiratory tubing 10. A bottle containing a solution of 0.25% acetic acid filled up to a depth of 7 cm. Distal tubing immersed to a depth of 5 cm to create  $+5 \text{ cmH}_2\text{O}$ 



#### **Bubble CPAP (Portable)**



# Nasal CPAP Application (1)



- Position the baby in supine position with the head elevated about 30 degrees
- 2. Place a small roll under the baby's neck
- 3. Put a pre-made hat or stockinet on the baby's head to hold the CPAP tubings

## Nasal CPAP Application (2)



4. Choose  $FiO_2$  to keep PaO<sub>2</sub> at 50's or O<sub>2</sub> saturation at 85 - 95%

# Nasal CPAP Application (3)



- 5. Adjust a flow rate 5-10 lpm to:
- a) provide adequate flow to prevent rebreathings CO<sub>2</sub>
- b) compensate leakage from tubing connectors and around CPAP prongs
- c) generate desired CPAP pressure (usually 5 cmH<sub>2</sub>O)



# 6. Keep inspired gas temperature at 37<sup>o</sup> C

# Nasal CPAP Application (5)



7. Insert the lightweight corrugated tubing (preferrably with heating wire inside) in a bottle of 0.25% acetic acid solution or sterile water filled up to a height of 7 cm. The tube is immersed to a depth of 5 cm to create 5 cmH<sub>2</sub>O CPAP as long as air bubbling out of solution

# **Babi-plus Bubble PAP valve**

# Fisher & Pakel

Nasal CPAP Application (6)

#### 8. Choose the proper size of nasal Cannulae

| <b>CPAP</b> | Cannulae    |
|-------------|-------------|
| Size        | <u>B.W.</u> |
| 0           | < 700g      |
| 1           | ~1000g      |
| 2           | ~ 2000g     |
| 3           | ~ 3000g     |
| 4           | ~ 4000g     |
| 5           | infant      |

# Nasal CPAP Application (7)



9. Lubricate the nasal CPAP prongs with sterile water or saline.
Place the prongs curved side down and direct into nasal cavities

# Nasal CPAP Application (8)



10. Secure tubings on both sides of the hat with either <u>safety</u> <u>pins and rubber</u>
<u>band</u> or velcro

# Nasal CPAP Application (9)



11. Secure tubings on both sides of the hat with either safety pins and rubber band or velcro




















## Tegaderm™

Transparent Dressing with Label Pansement adhésif transparent avec étiquette Transparentvorband mit Dokumentatio

Transparentverband mit Dokumentationsstreifen Medicazione trasparente con etichetta Apósito Transparente con Etiqueta Transparant verband met Label Transparent sårförband med etikett

R

STERILE

NDC 8333-1624-05















### **Pressure = Force / Surface Area**











#### Nasal CPAP Maintenance (1)

- 1. Observe baby's vital signs, oxygenation and activity
- 2. Systematically check CPAP systems, inspired gas temperature, air bubbling out of acetic acid solution. Empty condensed water in the circuit
- 3. Check CPAP prongs position and keep <u>CPAP</u> <u>cannulae off the septum</u> at all times. A snug cap is used to securely hold the tubings in place and using self-adhesive Velcro to keep cannulae away from the septum if necessary

Nasal CPAP Maintenance (2)

4. Suction nasal cavities, mouth, pharynx and stomach q4h and prn
5. Change the baby's position
6. Change CPAP circuit once a week

## Nasal CPAP Weaning

CPAP is kept at 5 cmH<sub>2</sub>O
FiO<sub>2</sub> is adjusted to keep PaO<sub>2</sub> in 50's, or oxygen saturation around 90% (85 - 95%)

# Weaning CPAP

•Off CPAP when the infant is stable on room air without respiratory distress. Resume CPAP if tachypnea, retraction or requires oxygen supplement (preferred method)

•Cycling: where the baby comes off for a period then goes back on -gradually increasing the time off and reducing time on, and reducing the pressure gradually while leaving the baby on.....

•Switch to high flow nasal cannula which provides an unknown pressure equivalent to CPAP -except we don't really know how much.....

Three CPAP weaning methods M1: Taken 'OFF' CPAP with the view to stay 'OFF' M2: Cycled on and off CPAP with incremental time 'OFF'. M3: As with M2, cycled on and off CPAP but during 'OFF' periods were supported by 2 mm nasal cannula at a fl ow of 0.5 l/min.

Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed published online May 18, 2012

### Methods of weaning preterm babies <30 weeks gestation off CPAP: a multicentre randomised controlled trial

|                        | M1 (n=56)    | m² (n=69)             | M3 (n=52)             | Sig      |
|------------------------|--------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|----------|
| Time of wean‡          | 11.3±0.8     | 16.8±1.0              | 19.4±1.3              | p<0.0001 |
| Total days CPAP        | 24.4±0.1     | 38.6±0.1 <sup>*</sup> | 30.5±0.1 <sup>*</sup> | p<0.0001 |
| CGA OFF CPAP           | 31.9±0.1     | 34.1±0.1              | 32.8±0.2              | p<0.0001 |
| Oxygen duration‡       | 24.1±1.5     | 45.8±2.2 <sup>*</sup> | 34.1±2.0 <sup>*</sup> | p<0.0001 |
| BPD                    | 7/56 (12.5%) | 29/69 (42%)†          | 10/52 (19%)           | p=0.011  |
| Length of<br>Admission | 58.5±0.1     | 73.8±0.1 <sup>*</sup> | 69.5±0.1 <sup>*</sup> | p<0.0001 |
| CGA at D/C#            | 35.8±0.1     | 36.9±0.1              | 36.9±0.1              | p<0.0001 |
|                        |              |                       |                       |          |

CGA: corrected GA;

Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed published online May 18, 2012

Nasal CPAP Discontinued

No tachypnea or retraction
No apnea and bradycardia
FiO<sub>2</sub> is usually room air

## Nasal CPAP Complications (1)

- Nasal obstruction from secretions or improper application of nasal prongs
- Gastric distention from swallowing air, especially in infants on aminophylline or caffeine
- Nasal septum erosion or necrosis
- Fluctuating FiO<sub>2</sub>
- Air leak: <5%, usually occurs during acute phase

### Nasal CPAP Complications (2)

- Pneumothorax, if occurs, usually occurs within the first few days of use, not after a week.
   Furthermore, pneumothorax is generally less severe and less frequent in infants on CPAP compared to intubated infants on mechanical ventilation.
- Most of complications are preventable
- The majority of the problems can be attributed to inappropriate use, wrong device or a lack of training and experience

### INFANT FLOW<sup>TM</sup> mask


































## Feeding on CPAP Baby may feed at breast











### 3 months old BW 395gm, on CPAP for 82 days

480gm, 24 weeks, on CPAP since birth

1.0



### **Novel Application**

- Mechanical ventilation via nasal CPAP cannulae
- Preferably in SIMV, A/C or pressure support mode. Infant Star Sync is not available anymore.
  - (using Servo i with NAVA).
- PIP: 15-20 cmH<sub>2</sub>O, PEEP: 5 cmH<sub>2</sub>O
- Indications:
  - Frequent A&B
    High PaCO<sub>2</sub>
    Laborious breathings







#### Edi Catheter positioning procedure Position and Edi signal

























### Mechanical Ventilation Indications

- 1.Marked retractions on CPAP (not due to nasal obstruction)
- 2. Frequent apnea and bradycardia on CPAP
- 3.  $PaO_2 < 50 \text{ mm Hg with } FiO_2 > 60\%$
- 4.  $PaCO_2 > 70 \text{ mm Hg}$  (except 1<sup>st</sup> ABGS)
- 5. Intractable metabolic acidosis

 $(BD > 10 \text{ meq/L after Rx with } \overline{NaHCO_3})$ 

6. Other (Cardiovascular collapse, Neuromuscular disorder, Congenital diaphragmatic hernia, or for Surgery, MRI, Cardiac catheterization, etc.)

# The Columbia Experience with CPAP



Morgan Stanley Children's Hospital of NewYork-Presbyterian Columbia University Medical Center

### The Columbia Experience (1997-1999)

A retrospective database review for a cohort of all live inborn VLBW infants (BW 500-1500g) born between Jan 1, 1997 and Dec 31, 1999 (three calendar years).

• 320 infants were divided into three groups :

1) <u>CPAP only group</u> (n = 230): received only bubble NCPAP for respiratory support during the first 24 hrs of life.

2) <u>CPAP failed group (n = 60</u>): Infants managed initially with NCPAP who required intubation within 24 hrs of birth.

3) <u>Vent only group (n = 30</u>): Infants requiring intubation immediately following birth.
# The Columbia Experience (1997-1999)

| BW(gm)    | CPAP      | CPAP/    | IMV      | Total | Expired  |
|-----------|-----------|----------|----------|-------|----------|
|           | Only(%)   | IMV(%)   | (%)      |       | (%)      |
| 500-750   | 21(31.8)  | 26(39.4) | 19(28.8) | 66    | 11(16.7) |
| 751-1000  | 58(69)    | 17(20.2) | 9(10.7)  | 84    | 6(7.1)   |
| 1001-1250 | 59(85.5)  | 9(13)    | 1(1.4)   | 69    | 0        |
| 1251-1500 | 92(91)    | 8(7.9)   | 1(1)     | 101   | 7(6.9)   |
| Total     | 230(71.9) | 60(18.8) | 30(9.4)  | 320   | 24(7.5)  |



#### BPD

#### (Required oxygen suplement at 36 wks PCA)

| BW(gm)        | CPAP only | CPAP/IMV | IMV  | Total |
|---------------|-----------|----------|------|-------|
| 500-750       | 0/21      | 1/26     | 3/19 | 4/66  |
| 751-1000      | 1/58      | 0/17     | 0/9  | 1/84  |
| 1001-<br>1250 | 0/59      | 0/9      | 0/1  | 0/69  |
| 1251-<br>1500 | 1/92      | 1/8      | 0/1  | 2/101 |

#### Intraventricular Hemorrhage (IVH Grade III-IV)

| BW(gm)        | CPAP only | CPAP/IMV | IMV  | Total |
|---------------|-----------|----------|------|-------|
| 500-750       | 1/21      | 3/26     | 4/19 | 8/66  |
| 751-1000      | 0/58      | 0/17     | 0/9  | 0/84  |
| 1001-<br>1250 | 1/59      | 0/9      | 0/1  | 1/69  |
| 1251-<br>1500 | 0/92      | 1/8      | 0/1  | 1/101 |

#### Retinopathy of Prematurity (ROP Stage 3-4)

| BW(gm)        | CPAP only | CPAP/IMV | IMV  | Total |
|---------------|-----------|----------|------|-------|
| 500-750       | 4/21      | 5/26     | 3/19 | 12/66 |
| 751-1000      | 0/58      | 0/17     | 0/9  | 0/84  |
| 1001-<br>1250 | 0/59      | 0/9      | 0/1  | 0/69  |
| 1251-<br>1500 | 0/92      | 0/8      | 0/1  | 0/101 |

#### Mortality before Discharge

| BW(gm)        | CPAP only | CPAP/IMV | IMV  | Total |
|---------------|-----------|----------|------|-------|
| 500-750       | 0/21      | 3/26     | 8/19 | 11/66 |
| 751-1000      | 1/58      | 3/17     | 2/9  | 6/84  |
| 1001-<br>1250 | 0/59      | 0/9      | 0/1  | 0/69  |
| 1251-<br>1500 | 3/92      | 3/8      | 1/1  | 7/101 |

The strategy of

Early nasal CPAP therapy first and

Surfactant replacement only for rescue

does not jeopardize outcome of very low birth weight infants



(R Plavka, U Simeoni et al. ESPR: Arch Dis Child 2008;93(Suppl II):A34)

- Question: is the prophylactic administration of surfactant superior to early rescue treatment in spontaneously breathing infants supported on nCPAP, in reducing the need for mechanical ventilation, during the first 5 days of life?
- Population: 25-28 weeks infants (European multicentre, n=24)
- Outcome:
  - . Duration of mechanical ventilation during the first 5 days of life
  - . Mortality, pulmonary and neurological morbidity



PaCO2 > 65mmHg



### **CURPAP study: preliminary results**

| Parameter                             | nCPAP                   | CURPAP           |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| Gestational age (median in weeks)     | 27                      | 27               |
| Birth weight (g)                      | 913 <u>+</u> 200        | 967 <u>+</u> 221 |
| Antenatal steroids                    | 98%                     | 96%              |
| CPAP failure => mechanical ventilatio | n <b>31%</b>            | 33%              |
| Pneumothorax                          | 1%                      | 6.7%*            |
| Mortality                             | 10.7%                   | 8.6%             |
| BPD                                   | 22%                     | 23.8%            |
| ٦                                     | 50% reaction overfacto  | nt               |
|                                       | (median = 240 min of a) | age)             |



- Prophylactic surfactant is <u>not</u> superior to early rescue surfactant therapy after CPAP
- Surfactant was halved in the nCPAP group
- Outcome was really good in both arms

|                                     | 500-1000g | 1000-1500g | 1500-2000g | 2000-2500g |
|-------------------------------------|-----------|------------|------------|------------|
| BPD Rate (0 <sub>2</sub> at 36 wks) | 57%       | 19%        | 10%        | 17%        |
| Early CPAP Rate                     | 19%       | 48%        | 72%        | 75%        |
| Early CPAP Failure Rate             | 67%       | 38%        | 24%        | 24%        |
| Vent at anytime                     | 86%       | 51%        | 25%        | 21%        |

Source: VON 2006, CDC Statistics 2006 & 2005

#### NICU Quality and Outcome, 2013 501 – 1500g

|                                | MSCH  | Vermont Oxford |
|--------------------------------|-------|----------------|
| Incidence of BPD               | 7.7%  | 34.6%          |
| <b>Nosocomial infection</b>    | 5.8%  | 13.4%          |
| Incidence of IVH               | 13.5% | 25.9%          |
| Incidence of Severe ROP        | 6.3%  | 7.8%           |
| Incidence of NEC               | 5.5%  | 6.7%           |
| <b>Neonatal Mortality rate</b> | 9.5%  | 15.0%          |

# Reasons for different results in previous CPAP studies

- The difference in devices used and experiences
- The difference in criteria of CPAP failure
- Studies did not show significant reduction of BPD because CPAP therapy was discontinued too early to take advantage of stimulation of lung growth.

# Do not brand a form of therapy as useless,

when in reality it was only inappropriately applied.





### I Love My CPAP



### Thank You for your attention

#### VON Data Presentation: 10/21/2009

- \* Focus on BW 501-1000 Gm Infants
- \* <u>2 Subgroups by BW</u> 501-750 Gm 751-1000 Gm
- \* <u>2 Subgroups by Time Span</u>
  1<sup>st</sup> period: 1998-2002
  2<sup>nd</sup> period: 2003-2008
- \* Peek at characteristic/outcomes of "Type C" centers
- \* A quick look at the Expanded Data results.

#### CPAP Before ETT Vent 501-1000 Gm



### Surfactant at Any Time 501-1000 Gm



#### Severe IVH (3+4) 501-1000 Gm



#### Cystic PVL



#### Severe ROP 501-750 Gm



#### Oxygen at 36 weeks GA, <33 W (CLD) 501-1000 Gm



## Survival w/o Specified Morbidities 501-1000 Gm



#### Case-Cohort Study Boston-Columbia

- Linda VanMarter et al.: Do Clinical Markers of Barotrauma and Oxygen Toxicity Explain Interhospital Variation in Rates of Chronic Lung Disease?
- 441 infants born at 500 to 1500 g birth weight Columbia (N=100) vs Boston Beth Israel and Brigham (N=341)

VanMarter LJ: Pediatrics 105 (6): 1194-1201, 2000

#### **Center-Specific Respiratory Management**



#### Hospital Specific Rates of CLD at 36 weeks PMA by Birth Weight and Gestational Age





|                           | CHONY       | Boston |
|---------------------------|-------------|--------|
| CPAP                      | 63 %        | 11 % * |
| Ventilation               | <b>29 %</b> | 75 % * |
| # days MV                 | 13 d        | 27 d * |
| Surfactant                | 10 %        | 45 % * |
| Indomethacin              | 2 %         | 28 % * |
| Sedation                  | 0 %         | 46 % * |
| <b>Postnatal Steroids</b> | 3 %         | 4 %    |
| BPD                       | 4 %         | 22 % * |
| Mortality                 | 9 %         | 10 %   |
| * P < 0.05                |             |        |

(No significant differences in IVH, PVL, NEC or ROP)

Van Marter et al, Pediatr 2000; 105:1194-1201

### Neurodevelopmental Outcome

Sanocka U et al (PAS 2002) assessed neurodevelopment at age 6-8 years in two cohorts VLBW infants (n=218), one from **New York & one from Boston**, that differed in their respiratory management

#### Long-term Neurocognitive Development



# Not all CPAP devices are created equal

There is a learning curve for CPAP therapy

The more you do it, the better you get at it. And the better you get, the easier you will feel.
## Babi-plus nCPAP Size 7 – size 0

Made of silicone, not PVC. latex-free

## **Babi-plus nCPAP System**

Manniena

## Concentration of saturated phosphatidylcholine (DPPC) in neonatal lungs by gestational age

